1. Welcome

2. Open Public Comments

3. Acceptance of December 12, 2019 Meeting Minutes (Attachment A)

4. Discuss the Federal Transit Administration’s 1% Security Requirement (Attachment B) - 20 Minutes

Staff Report:
The Committee has discussed the 1% security requirement as it relates to individual transit agencies and the region's total federal funding for transit. Included in the packet is the relevant excerpt from the 5307 program requirements from the Fiscal Year 2019 Comprehensive Review Guide for Triennial and State Management Reviews. Relevant to the discussion are pages 19-1 and 19-16 through 19-19. The goal of today's discussion is to determine if and how to include the 1% requirement in the Six Year Capital and Operating Plan (SYCOP) process for 2020.

Proposed Action: Direct staff to include “Security” as a category in the 2020 – 2025 SYCOP.

5. Discuss Authority for Programming Federal Transit Funds in the PACTS Region (20 Minutes)
Staff Report:
The Committee has discussed previously the role of PACTS committees and transit agencies in the programming of the region's federal transit funding. The intent of today’s discussion is to provide an update on staff’s understanding of the issue.

6. Review Transit Committee Task List (Attachment C) (10 Minutes)

Staff Report:
Per the Committee’s request, staff developed the list of tasks related to the Transit Committee. The list and format are presented for the Committee’s consideration and comment. Staff’s intent is to regularly update this list and report back to the Transit Committee on items as appropriate.

Proposed Action: Approve the format and process or direct staff on revisions.

7. Review Proposed 2020 Calendar for Reporting on Previously Approved Regionally Administered Discretionary (RAD) Projects (Attachment D) (5 Minutes)

Staff Report:
Staff developed the attached calendar for reporting on previously approved RAD projects in 2020. The calendar is included as part of the approved template for reporting and is presented for information only.

8. Other Business

9. Adjourn

Upcoming Meetings:
- January 23, 3:00 p.m. – PACTS Policy Committee
- January 28, 12:30 p.m. - PACTS Transit Committee Workshop
- February 13, 9:30 a.m. – PACTS Transit Committee
- February 25, 1:00 p.m. – PACTS Transit Committee Workshop
In Attendance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Attendance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hank Berg, Vice Chair</td>
<td>Casco Bay Island Transit District</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lori Brann</td>
<td>MaineDOT</td>
<td>Y (phone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Chop</td>
<td>Maine Medical Center</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Currie</td>
<td>YCCAC</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack De Berardinis</td>
<td>RTP</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casey Gilbert</td>
<td>Portland Downtown</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebecca Grover</td>
<td>Maine Turnpike Authority</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Handman</td>
<td>City of South Portland</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Hyman</td>
<td>Planning Committee Appointee</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregg Isherwood</td>
<td>Custom Coach &amp; Limousine</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greg Jordan, Chair</td>
<td>METRO</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Quinn</td>
<td>NNEPRA</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tony Scavuzzo</td>
<td>BSOOB Transit</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GPCOG</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan Neale</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Welcome** - The meeting was called to order at 9:30 a.m.

2. **Open Public Comments** - There were no public comments.
3. **Acceptance of November 14, 2019 Meeting Minutes.**

Bob Currie noted a typo in the first paragraph of page 7 of the meeting minutes which staff will correct.

Art Handman noted the action items in the minutes and asked when the Committee would get a report on those items. An update on action items is not typically included as an agenda item. Ryan Neale said that items for future meetings are added to future agendas. Bob Currie suggested that a tracking list be created for the action items. The Committee generally agreed that this would be helpful.

**Action:** Staff will develop a spreadsheet to track action items for review at the January 9 Transit Committee meeting.

Rebecca Grover noted that a previous action item is the sharing of the October 24 Policy Committee meeting minutes with this Committee. Ryan Neale said that staff is working to finalize the minutes, but they are not ready to be shared yet. Greg Jordan suggested that the meeting minutes be shared with the Transit Committee as a draft prior to Policy Committee approval, which would not happen until late January. Ryan stated that staff is working to finalize the draft minutes from the October 24 Policy Committee meeting. These will be shared with the Transit Committee as a draft as soon as possible.

**Action:** Staff will finalize and share the draft October 24 Policy Committee meeting minutes.

**Action:** Bruce Hyman made a motion to accept the November 14, 2019 minutes as revised, with a second by Bob. The minutes were accepted unanimously.
4. **Endorsement of Draft Regional Fare Guidelines**

Greg Jordan noted that the Committee reviewed a previous version of this document extensively at the last Transit Committee meeting. Ryan Neale noted the Committee had discussed adding the caveat about Casco Bay Lines and NNEPRA not being able to participate fully in every bulleted item; this is included where it makes sense but is not included where it is unnecessarily redundant. Bob Currie noted there are some areas on which YCCAC will not be able to comply due to its on-demand service. Bob noted that this was referenced in regard to paratransit, but on-demand is not the same as paratransit. Greg said that these are guidelines and are not binding. The language in the second paragraph ("Agencies retain the ability to act individually as needed or required") covers these and other instances. Adding too many exceptions and caveats is counterproductive. Approving the guidelines as currently written moves this forward and allows for adjustments later.

**Action:** Art Handman offered a motion to approve the guidelines as written, with a second by Jack De Berardinis. The guidelines as written were approved unanimously.

Greg Jordan suggested that the next step will be for the Transit Committee workshop to discuss implementation and review how agencies will move in the direction of the guidelines. Part of this is to develop the graphical presentation of agencies’ fare structures and policies. Ryan Neale noted that staff is currently working on this.

**Action:** Implementation will be an agenda item for a future workshop.

**Action:** Staff will develop and share a visual compilation of transit agency fare structures and policies.
5. Adoption of the Draft Reporting Template for Previously Approved Regionally Administered Discretionary (RAD) Projects

Ryan Neale noted that revisions based on the discussion at the last meeting are highlighted in the current version. Greg Jordan noted that the revisions are straightforward.

**Action:** Bruce Hyman offered a motion to adopt the reporting template as written, with a second by Tony Scavuzzo. The draft template was approved unanimously.

6. Review of Process and Timeline for Programming Transit Funding

Ryan Neale said the process and timeline outlined in the packet closely follow that of 2019 and briefly summarized the timeline. The RAD process had been moved forward to accommodate RTP’s parking shelter request. The intention is to move back to the previous timeline for the RAD process, with applications due fall 2020.

The group discussed the timeline and a due date for submission of agencies’ initial funding requests which will reflect changes to the existing SYCOP for 2020 – 2025. Hank Berg expressed concern about the TIP and STIP not being approved until April or May and suggested an accelerated timeline might be helpful. It was noted that Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act runs through 2020. The group discussed reviewing the current SYCOP at the January workshop and selecting a date for submission of initial requests based on that.

Lori Brann expressed concern about FTA’s regional appropriations being made by January or February. Greg Jordan said this is always a concern; the assumptions in the SYCOP are based on the FAST Act and the expectation is that funding levels will not be dramatically reduced. We have enough information to start the process. We will not finalize the Split Letter until the federal funding amounts are known.
Staff will add another year to the SYCOP and carry formulas over. The updated version will be shared with the transit agencies and initial agency requests will be due on January 17. Staff will incorporate requested changes into the SYCOP with the understanding that the revised SYCOP will be presented and discussed at the January 28 workshop rather than included ahead of time in the workshop packet. The supplemental information form that was used last year will be used again to provide basic information on the funding requests. The threshold funding amounts for additional information developed last year will be utilized again this year.

**Action:** Staff will share a SYCOP covering 2020 – 2025, the threshold information, and the supplemental information form with transit agencies.

**Action:** Agencies will submit initial funding requests by January 17.

Art Handman expressed concern about authority for programming the region’s federal transit funds. Greg Jordan asked that this issue be included as an agenda item for the January 9 Transit Committee meeting.

**Action:** The authority for the programming of regional federal transit funds will be an agenda item for the January 9 Transit Committee meeting.

Patricia Quinn asked about the difference between Committee meetings and workshops. Greg Jordan explained that workshops are informal and provide an opportunity to work through details. No votes are taken and no official minutes are kept for workshops. The workshop allows Committee meetings to run more smoothly.

7. **Review of Committee Meeting and Workshop Calendar for 2020**
   The group agreed that the current schedule, with Committee meetings on the second Thursday of each month at 9:30 a.m. and workshops on the fourth Tuesday
of each month at 12:30 p.m., generally works. Greg Jordan suggested that the time for workshops be moved from 12:30 to 1:00 p.m. and no one raised concerns.

**Action:** staff will share Outlook calendar invitations for 2020 with Committee meetings on the second Thursday of each month at 9:30 a.m. and workshops on the fourth Tuesday of each month at 1:00 p.m.

8. **Other Business**

Rebecca Grover noted Chris Chop’s 40 for 40 award and the group congratulated Chris for his work and the award.

Hank Berg asked how the 1% security requirement should be treated in the SYCOP. Agencies are not required to provide documentation. This or a citation of NNEPRA’s funds could be included in the Split Letter. It was suggested that including the 1% security requirement as a category in the SYCOP would simplify the situation going forward.

Greg Jordan provided an update on the automated fare project. Equipment is coming in. Test phases will be done in January and February. A soft launch is expected in February and a full launch in April. The brand is selected and can be shared at the January 9 Transit Committee meeting. Greg said that BSOOB Transit and SPBS are on board. Art Handman noted that the South Portland City Council approved the fare changes in November. Tony Scavuzzo said the last public hearing for BSOOB Transit is tonight.

Hank Berg said the Casco Bay Lines board approved a new schedule for the down bay islands. This adds additional service at no extra cost for Peaks and the down bay islands. The board rejected the Peaks Island schedule. Some residents did not
want a change. The down bay schedule change was approved in October and will go into effect in February.

Tony Scavuzzo said BSOOB Transit is undergoing a rebranding in the next 4 – 8 weeks. The current branding is confusing. Consultants are working on new visual branding and reviewing the website. The intent is to make it clear that this is a transportation organization and eliminate confusion.

**Action:** Staff will include a category for the 1% security requirement in the SYCOP going forward.

**Action:** Chris Chop was asked to share Maine Medical Center’s draft Transportation Demand Management 2019 annual report.

9. **Adjourn**

Bruce Hyman offered a motion to adjourn, with Art Handman seconding. All were in favor and the meeting adjourned at 10:08 a.m.
19. SECTION 5307 PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

PURPOSE OF THIS REVIEW AREA
For fixed-route service supported with Section 5307 assistance, fares charged seniors, persons with disabilities or an individual presenting a Medicare card during off-peak hours will not be more than one half the peak hour fares.

Recipients are expected to have a written, locally developed process for soliciting and considering public comment before raising a fare or carrying out a major transportation service reduction.

Recipients shall develop, publish, afford an opportunity for a public hearing on, and submit for approval, a program of projects (POP).

Recipients must annually certify that they are spending at least one percent of such funds for transit security projects or that such expenditures for security systems are not necessary.

Recipients must ensure that least one percent of such funds are expended on associated transit enhancement projects.

QUESTIONS TO BE EXAMINED
1. Does the recipient have a written agreement with the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) that determines their mutual responsibilities in carrying out the metropolitan transportation planning process?

2. Does the recipient provide information about its available funding under Section 5307 to the public and provide for public involvement in the Program of Projects (POP) it proposes to undertake?

3. Does the recipient have a written policy that describes the public comment process on increases in the basic fare structure or implementing a major service reduction that addresses the required elements?

4. For Section 5307-funded fixed-route service, does the recipient charge no more than half the peak-hour fare for seniors, persons with disabilities, and Medicare cardholders during off-peak hours?

5. Does the recipient or the recipient’s UZA utilize one percent of its Section 5307 expenditures for transit security?

6. For Section 5307 funds awarded before October 1, 2015, has the recipient or the recipient’s UZA met the associated transit improvement requirements?

7. Does the recipient ensure that subrecipients, contractors, and lessees that receive Section 5307 funds or use Section 5307-funded property comply with Section 5307 half-fare and public comment requirements?

INFORMATION NEEDED FROM RECIPIENT
Recipient Information Request
- Half-fare application, if applicable
- Special identification card, if applicable
- Copy of written policy for soliciting public comment prior to implementing a fare increase or major service reduction
- List of fare increases and major service reductions implemented since last review with date of implementation
- Annual POP public notices for the past three years
Consultative process, including how coordination is performed with interested parties
- List of complaints received from interested parties in relation to the POP consultative process, if applicable
- Annual proposed POPs and final proposed POPs, if amended
- Signed recipient and MPO agreement
- Split letter(s) since the last review identifying how Section 5307 funds will be expended on security and associated transit improvements to meet the one percent requirement for the UZA
- Section 5307 annual security expenditures for the review period (by award year), along with documentation that supports the expenditures
- Documentation that current security measures meet the agency needs, if applicable
- Section 5307 annual associated transit improvements for the review period (by award year), along with documentation that supports the expenditures

Recipient Follow-up
- Samples of notices to solicit public comment (e.g., newspaper classifieds, website, etc.)
- Description of procedures for how public comment will be considered regarding decisions on implementing fare increases and major service reductions
- Internal documentation of how public comment was considered prior to implementing any fare increases or major service reductions
- Sample documentation of public hearings (board minutes, public meeting minutes, news articles, etc.)
- Staff summaries, internal memoranda documenting public participation and comments
- Internal working documents showing original plans and actual plans that were implemented for major services reductions
- Documentation for monitoring fare increases and major service reductions implemented by subrecipients
- Most recent MPO adopted public participation plan, if applicable
- MPO TIP public notice(s) for the past three years, if applicable
- Executive summary of the results of the most recent Planning Certification Review

5307:1. Does the recipient have a written agreement with the MPO that determines their mutual responsibilities in carrying out the metropolitan transportation planning process?

BASIC REQUIREMENT
Recipients and entities responsible for the transportation planning and programming processes in metropolitan planning areas are required to determine their mutual responsibilities in carrying out the metropolitan transportation planning process in a written agreement.

APPLICABILITY
All 5307 recipients, except those in Transportation Management Area (TMA) with recent Planning Certification Reviews (PCR) conducted during the review period.

EXPLANATION FOR RECIPIENT
The planning regulations require that the MPO, the state(s), and the public transportation operator(s) cooperatively determine their mutual responsibilities in carrying out the metropolitan transportation planning process. These responsibilities shall be clearly identified in written agreements among the MPO, the state(s), and the public transportation operator(s) serving the metropolitan planning area. Written agreements are required to address at least: 1) the recipient’s responsibilities, 2) the development and sharing of information for financial plans, and 3) the development of the annual listing of obligated projects. If the recipient intends to rely on the MPOs’ public involvement process to meet Section 5307 public involvement requirements, FTA encourages it to state so in the agreement.
INDICATORS OF COMPLIANCE

a. Is the recipient a party to a written agreement with the state, MPO, and providers of public transportation? If yes, does the agreement address:

1) The recipient’s responsibilities in carrying out the metropolitan transportation planning process?
2) The development and sharing of information for financial plans?
3) The development of the annual listing of obligated projects?

INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWER

Request from the regional office a copy of the recipient PCR report. Obtain and review the signed agreement to ensure the required elements are included. Ensure that the recipient has signed the agreement. If the MPO is an operating entity, consult with the regional office to ascertain who the providers of public transportation are in the metropolitan planning area. Ensure that providers of public transportation and the state(s) are parties to the written agreement.

POTENTIAL DEFICIENCY DETERMINATIONS

The recipient is deficient if it does not have an agreement with the MPO.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:1-1: No current agreement

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a fully executed agreement that specifies the cooperative procedures for carrying out transportation planning and programming and addresses the recipient’s responsibilities, the development and sharing of information for financial plans, and the development of the annual listing of obligated projects.

The recipient is deficient if the agreement does not address the recipient’s responsibilities, the development and sharing of information for financial plans, or the development of the annual listing of obligated projects.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:1-2: Deficiencies in agreement with MPO

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a fully executed agreement that specifies the cooperative procedures for carrying out transportation planning and programming and addresses the recipient’s responsibilities, the development and sharing of information for financial plans, and the development of the annual listing of obligated projects.

The recipient is deficient if it is an MPO and the providers of public transportation and the state(s) are not parties to the agreement.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:1-3: All parties not signatory to the MPO agreement

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a fully executed agreement that specifies the cooperative procedures for carrying out transportation planning and programming and addresses the recipient’s responsibilities, the development and sharing of information for financial plans, and the development of the annual listing of obligated projects and includes all applicable parties as signatories.
GOVERNING DIRECTIVE

23 CFR 450.314 Metropolitan Planning Agreement

(a) The MPO(s), the State(s), and the providers of public transportation shall cooperatively determine their mutual responsibilities in carrying out the metropolitan transportation planning process. These responsibilities shall be clearly identified in written agreements among the MPO(s), the State(s), and the providers of public transportation serving the MPA. To the extent possible, a single agreement among all responsible parties should be developed. The written agreement(s) shall include specific provisions for the development of financial plans that support the metropolitan transportation plan (see §450.324) and the metropolitan TIP (see §450.326), and development of the annual listing of obligated projects (see §450.334).

5307:2. Does the recipient provide information about its available funding under Section 5307 to the public and provide for public involvement in the Program of Projects (POP) it proposes to undertake?

BASIC REQUIREMENT
Recipients must provide for public involvement in the development of the Section 5307 Program of Projects (POP).

APPLICABILITY
All 5307 recipients

EXPLANATION FOR RECIPIENT
Both the planning regulations and Section 5307 require public participation. The planning regulations require that the metropolitan transportation planning process include a proactive participation plan that provides complete information, timely public notice, and reasonable public access to key decisions, and supports early and continuing involvement of the public in developing plans and Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP). (The recipient’s projects must be programmed in the TIP to be eligible for funding.) Section 5307 recipients also have specific requirements for public participation related to the Program of Projects (POP). POP public participation requirements do not apply to funds flexed into a Section 5307 award.

FTA allows a recipient to rely on the locally adopted public participation requirements for the TIP in lieu of the process required in the development of the POP if the recipient has coordinated with the MPO and ensured that the public is aware that the TIP development process is being used to satisfy the POP public participation requirements. To comply with the latter requirement:

- The MPO must have an adopted public participation plan.

- The TIP document (public participation plan, notice, or TIP must state that public notice of public participation activities and time established for public review of and comments on the TIP will satisfy the POP requirements. The recipient may rely on the MPO public participation process for the TIP even when notices are published less than annually.

FTA encourages recipients to state in the agreement with the MPO that it relies on the public participation process for the TIP to satisfy Section 5307 public involvement requirements for the POP.

If the recipient relies on its own process to satisfy POP public participation requirements, it must:

- Make available to the public information concerning the amount of funds available under the Section 5307 program and the POP that the recipient proposes to undertake with such funds.
• Develop a proposed POP in consultation with interested parties, including private transportation providers and human services organizations or transit operators representing the employment-related transportation needs of welfare recipients and low-income individuals.

• Publish the proposed POP in sufficient detail and in such a manner as to afford affected citizens, private transportation providers, representatives of welfare recipients and low-income individuals, and, as appropriate, local elected officials, reasonable and adequate opportunity to examine the proposed program and to comment on it and on the performance of the recipient. If the service area includes a significant number of persons with limited English proficiency, the recipient should distribute the notice to these populations. (see the Title VI section of this guide).

• Provide an opportunity for a public hearing to obtain the views of the public on the proposed POP. Most recipients include in the public notice an announcement that the proposed POP is available for review and that, if requested, a public hearing will be held. Some local laws or recipient policies make the public hearing mandatory.

• Ensure that the proposed POP provides for the coordination of Section 5307 public transportation services with transportation services assisted with other Federal sources. Coordination may occur at many levels, from simple information sharing to total consolidation of services. Participation in the public transportation-human services planning process satisfies this requirement.

• Consider comments and views received, including those of private transportation providers and human services organizations or transit operators representing the employment-related transportation needs of welfare recipients and low-income individuals, in preparing the final POP.

• Make the final POP available to the public.

Where there are multiple designated recipients and/or multiple MPOs, this public participation requirement may be met in several separate processes for the different areas involved.

INDICATORS OF COMPLIANCE
a. Does the designated or direct recipient rely on the MPO’s public participation process for the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to meet Section 5307 POP public participation requirements? If no, go to indicator b.

   1) Does the MPO have an adopted public participation plan that describes the minimum required elements of its public participation process?

   2) Do the TIP document(s) state public notice of public involvement activities and time established for public review and comment on the TIP will satisfy the POP requirements of the Section 5307 Program?

b. If the recipient uses its own public participation process, does it meet the requirements listed in 49 U.S.C. 5307(b)(1) through (7) concerning public participation in development of a POP?

   1) How does the recipient make available to the public information concerning the amount of funds available under the Section 5307 Program and the POP that the recipient proposes to undertake with such funds?

   2) How does the recipient develop a proposed POP in consultation with interested parties, including private transportation providers and human services organizations or transit operators representing the employment-related transportation needs of welfare recipients and low-income individuals?
3) Is the proposed POP published in sufficient detail and in such a manner as to afford affected members of the public, private transportation providers, representatives of welfare recipients and low-income individuals, and, as appropriate, local elected officials, reasonable and adequate opportunity to examine the proposed program and to submit comments on the proposed program and on the performance of the recipient?

4) Does the recipient provide an opportunity for a public hearing to obtain the views of the public on the proposed POP?

5) How did the recipient ensure that the proposed POP provides for the coordination of Section 5307 public transportation services with transportation services assisted with other Federal sources?

6) How are comments and views received considered, including those of private transportation providers and human services organizations or transit operators representing the employment-related transportation needs of welfare recipients and low-income individuals, in preparing the final POP?

7) How is the final POP made available to the public?

INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWER
For recipients that rely on the MPO’s Public Participation Process (PPP): Obtain and review the MPO’s adopted public participation plan to ensure it describes explicit procedures, strategies, and desired outcomes for:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Addressed in Plan (page #)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Providing adequate public notice of public participation activities and time for public review and comment at key decision points, including a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed metropolitan transportation plan and the TIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing timely notice and reasonable access to information about transportation issues and processes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employing visualization techniques to describe metropolitan transportation plans and TIPs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making public information (technical information and meeting notices) available in electronically accessible formats and means, such as the World Wide Web</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holding any public meetings at convenient and accessible locations and times</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrating explicit consideration and response to public input received during the development of the metropolitan transportation plan and the TIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeking out and considering the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems, such as low-income and minority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element</td>
<td>Addressed in Plan (page #)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>households, who may face challenges accessing employment and other services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing an additional opportunity for public comment, if the final metropolitan transportation plan or TIP differs significantly from the version that was made available for public comment by the MPO and raises new material issues that interested parties could not reasonably have foreseen from the public involvement efforts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinating with the statewide transportation planning public involvement and consultation processes under subpart B of this part</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodically reviewing the effectiveness of the procedures and strategies contained in the participation plan to ensure a full and open participation process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Follow-up with the recipient if unable to locate the above items in the PPP.

Review the MPOs adopted public participation plan, TIPs, and TIP public notice(s) to determine which TIP document(s) states that public notice of public involvement activities and time established for public review and comment on the TIP will satisfy the POP requirements of the Section 5307 Program.

**NOTE:** The TIP document does not have to be verbatim, however, elements of the statement should be consistent with the integrity of the requirement.

For recipients that use their own Public Participation Process:

Review the public notices for the past three years to ensure that they address the items in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POP Elements</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A brief description of the projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-allocation among public transportation providers, if applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total project costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal share for each project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Obtain and review documentation that describes the consultative process and obtain documentation (i.e., meeting agendas, internal communications, information regarding the MPO planning process, documents relating the public transit-human services coordinated planning process, etc.) to ensure that the recipient consulted with interested parties, including private transportation providers and human services organizations or transit operators representing the employment-related transportation needs of welfare recipients and low-income individuals. Obtain and review documentation, such as a public notice, to ensure that the recipient provided an opportunity for a public hearing. Obtain and review documentation...
Obtain and review the Section 5307 public notices for the past three years to determine if the final POP was made available to the public.

**POTENTIAL DEFICIENCY DETERMINATIONS**
The recipient is deficient if it relies on the MPO’s public participation process to satisfy POP public participation requirements, but the MPO does not have an adopted public participation plan that describes the minimum required elements of the public participation process.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:2-1: No MPO public participation plan that describes the minimum elements

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office its own process for involving the public in the development of the POP or submit a revised MPO public participation plan that addresses all required elements.

The recipient is deficient if it relies on the MPO’s public participation process to satisfy POP public participation requirements, but none of the MPO’s TIP documents (public participation plan, notice, or TIP) explicitly state they satisfy the Section 5307 POP requirements.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:2-2: TIP documents missing explicit POP statement

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office its own process for involving the public in the development of the POP or submit documentation that the process meets the requirements.

The recipient is deficient if it (1) failed to make available to the public the amount of Section 5307 funds, (2) did not develop the proposed POP in consultation with interested parties, (3) did not publish a proposed POP, (4) did not provide an opportunity for a public hearing on the proposed POP, (5) did not ensure that a proposed POP provides for the coordination of Section 5307 services with transportation assisted from other Federal sources, (6) did not consider comments received in preparing the final POP, or (7) did not make the final POP available to the public.

DEFICIENCY 5307:2-3: Elements missing in POP public participation procedures

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION 1: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a procedure for making available to the public the amount of Section 5307 funds and evidence of its implementation.

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION 2: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a procedure for developing the proposed POP in consultation with interested parties, including private transportation providers and human services organizations or transit operators representing the employment-related transportation needs of welfare recipients and low-income individuals, along with evidence of its implementation.

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION 3: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a procedure for providing an opportunity for a public hearing on the proposed POP and evidence of its implementation.
SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION 4: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a procedure for providing for the coordination of Section 5307 services with transportation assisted from other Federal sources and evidence of its implementation.

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION 5: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a procedure for considering comments received in preparing the final POP and evidence of its implementation.

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION 6: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a procedure for publishing the proposed and final POP, along with copies of the final published POPs.

GOVERNING DIRECTIVES

23 CFR 450.316 Interested parties, participation, and consultation

(a) The MPO shall develop and use a documented participation plan that defines a process for providing individuals, affected public agencies, representatives of public transportation employees, public ports, freight shippers, providers of freight transportation services, private providers of transportation (including intercity bus operators, employer-based commuting programs, such as carpool program, vanpool program, transit benefit program, parking cash-out program, shuttle program, or telework program), representatives of users of public transportation, representatives of users of pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities, representatives of the disabled, and other interested parties with reasonable opportunities to be involved in the metropolitan transportation planning process.

(1) The MPO shall develop the participation plan in consultation with all interested parties and shall, at a minimum, describe explicit procedures, strategies, and desired outcomes for:

(i) Providing adequate public notice of public participation activities and time for public review and comment at key decision points, including a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed metropolitan transportation plan and the TIP;

(ii) Providing timely notice and reasonable access to information about transportation issues and processes;

(iii) Employing visualization techniques to describe metropolitan transportation plans and TIPS;

(iv) Making public information (technical information and meeting notices) available in electronically accessible formats and means, such as the World Wide Web;

(v) Holding any public meetings at convenient and accessible locations and times;

(vi) Demonstrating explicit consideration and response to public input received during the development of the metropolitan transportation plan and the TIP;

(vii) Seeking out and considering the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems, such as low-income and minority households, who may face challenges accessing employment and other services;

(viii) Providing an additional opportunity for public comment, if the final metropolitan transportation plan or TIP differs significantly from the version that was made available for public comment by the MPO and raises new material issues that interested parties could not reasonably have foreseen from the public involvement efforts;

(ix) Coordinating with the statewide transportation planning public involvement and consultation processes under subpart B of this part; and

(x) Periodically reviewing the effectiveness of the procedures and strategies contained in the participation plan to ensure a full and open participation process.
Satisfying the Requirement for Public Participation in Development of the POP using the Transportation Improvement Program Process. Federal transit law and joint FHWA/FTA planning regulations governing the metropolitan planning process require a locality to include the public and solicit comment when the locality develops its metropolitan long-range (twenty-year) transportation plan and its (four-year) metropolitan TIP. Accordingly, FTA has determined that when a recipient follows the procedures of the public involvement process outlined in the FHWA/FTA planning regulations, the recipient satisfies the public participation requirements associated with development of the POP that recipients of Section 5307 funds must meet. See 23 CFR part 450 and 49 CFR part 613 (specifically Subpart B, “Statewide Transportation Planning,” and Subpart C, “Metropolitan Transportation Planning and Programming”). A recipient that chooses to integrate the two should coordinate with the MPO and make sure the public knows that the recipient is using the public participation process associated with TIP development to satisfy the public hearing requirements of Section 5307(b). The recipient must ensure the TIP document explicitly states that public notice of public involvement activities and time established for public review and comment on the TIP will satisfy the POP requirements of the Section 5307 Program.

A POP is a list of projects proposed by the designated recipient to be funded from the UZA’s Section 5307 apportionment. If more than one recipient will apply for grants for projects in the POP, each grant application must include the portion of the POP that identifies the projects to be funded in the grant. The POP must include a description of each project to be funded from the UZA’s apportionment, including any suballocation among public transportation providers, total project costs, local share, and federal share for each project. Where there are multiple designated recipients or MPOs for a UZA, the POP may be presented in several separate parts for the purpose of programming and public participation...

c. Public Participation Requirements. To receive a grant under Section 5307, a recipient must meet certain requirements concerning public participation in development of a POP and must certify to compliance with these requirements. The requirements are listed in 49 U.S.C. 5307(b)(1) through (7) and are discussed in the paragraphs below. The recipient may satisfy these requirements in whole or in part through the development of the metropolitan TIP and the local coordinated public transit–human service transportation plan.

Either the designated recipient for a UZA or each individual direct recipient must:

(1) Make available to the public information concerning the amount of funds available under the Section 5307 Program and the POP that the recipient proposes to undertake with such funds;

(2) Develop a proposed POP for activities the designated recipient will finance, in consultation with interested parties, including private transportation providers and human services organizations or transit operators representing the employment-related transportation needs of welfare recipients and low-income individuals;

(3) Publish the proposed POP in sufficient detail and in such a manner as to afford affected members of the public, private transportation providers, representatives of welfare recipients and low-income individuals, and, as appropriate, local elected officials, reasonable and adequate opportunity to examine the proposed program and to submit comments on the proposed program and on the performance of the recipient;

(4) Provide an opportunity for a public hearing to obtain the views of the public on the proposed POP;

(5) Ensure that the proposed POP provides for the coordination of Section 5307 public transportation services with transportation services assisted with other federal sources;
(6) Consider comments and views received, including those of private transportation providers and human services organizations or transit operators representing the employment-related transportation needs of welfare recipients and low-income individuals, in preparing the final POP; and

(7) Make the final POP available to the public. Note: Where there are multiple designated recipients and/or multiple MPOs, this public participation requirement may be met in several separate processes for the different areas involved.

5307:3. Does the recipient have a written policy that describes the public comment process on increases in the basic fare structure or implementing a major service reduction that addresses the required elements?

BASIC REQUIREMENT
Recipients are expected to have a written policy that describes the public comment process on increases in the basic fare structure and on major service reductions.

APPLICABILITY
All 5307 recipients

EXPLANATION FOR RECIPIENT
Section 5307 recipients certify annually that they have a locally developed process to solicit and consider public comment prior to raising a fare or implementing a major reduction in public transportation service. Recipients are expected to have a written policy that describes the public comment process. The recipient is responsible for defining a major service reduction. This can be defined as a standard, such as elimination of a route or reduction of “X” percent of service hours or miles.

The policy should provide an opportunity for a public hearing or meeting for any fare increase or major service reduction. It should describe how such meetings will be conducted and how the results will be considered. A public meeting is not mandatory; however, an opportunity for a public meeting in order to solicit comment must be provided. Some recipients offer an opportunity for public comment for all fare and service changes.

INDICATORS OF COMPLIANCE
a. Does the recipient have a written policy?

b. Does the recipient’s written policy define a “major” service reduction?

c. Does the recipient’s written policy provide an opportunity for a public hearing or public meeting and describe how the recipient will conduct it?

d. Does the written policy describe how the recipient will consider the results of the public hearing or public meeting in the process of changing fares and service?

e. If the recipient raised fares or implemented a major service reduction since the last Comprehensive Review, did the recipient:
   - Follow its policy for providing an opportunity for a public hearing or public meeting for any fare increase or major service reduction? If not, what was done differently?
   - Follow its policy for considering the results of the public hearing or public meeting in the decision-making process? If not, what was done differently?
INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWER
Review the written policy to determine if it defines a major service reduction. Review the written policy to determine if it provides an opportunity for a public hearing or public meeting and describes how the recipient will conduct it. Review the written policy for a description of how the recipient will consider the results of the public hearing or public meeting in the process of changing fares and service.

Review the recipient’s website to determine if a fare increase or major service reduction was implemented over the review period. Compare current fares with the fares described in the recipient’s previous review documentation. Compare the route structure described on the website with that discussed in prior review documentation. Review the Title VI section of this guide to obtain information on any fare increases or service reductions implemented since the last review. Note effective dates and the dates of public meetings, if any, to discuss the changes. Determine if an opportunity for a public hearing or public meeting was provided, either advertised on the website or submitted as part of the document request in the Title VI section of this guide. Review internal working documents (transcripts from public hearings, board minutes, staff summaries) to determine if the recipient followed its policy for considering the results of the meetings in the decision-making process. Onsite, discuss the process or any follow up items with the recipient.

POTENTIAL DEFICIENCY DETERMINATIONS
The recipient is deficient if it does not have a written policy that describes the public comment process on increases in the basic fare structure or implementing a major service reduction.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:3-1: No written policy for public comment

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a written policy that describes the public comment process on increases in the basic fare structure or implementing a major service reduction.

The recipient is deficient if its policy does not 1) define a major service reduction, 2) provide an opportunity for a public hearing or public meeting, 3) describe how it will conduct a public hearing or public meeting and/or describe how the recipient will conduct such meetings or 4) explain how the recipient will consider public comments.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:3-2: Public comment policy missing required elements

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office an amended public comment policy addressing all required elements for soliciting and considering public comments prior to a fare increase or major service reduction.

The recipient is deficient if it did not follow its policy for 1) soliciting public comment and/or 2) considering the results of public hearings or public meetings in the implementation of the final plan.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:3-3: Public comment process not followed

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: For the next fare increase or major service reduction, the recipient must submit to the FTA regional office documentation that it followed its policy for soliciting public comment and considering the results of public meetings or hearings in the implementation of the final plan.
GOVERNING DIRECTIVE
FTA Circular 9030.1E, Chapter VI Program Management and Administrative Requirements

1.a.(12)…The recipient is responsible for defining a major service reduction. The policy should provide an opportunity for a public hearing or public meeting for any fare increase or major service reduction and should describe how the recipient will conduct such meetings and how the recipient will consider the results of such meetings in the process of changing fares and service. A public meeting is not mandatory; however, an opportunity for a public meeting in order to solicit comment must be provided.

5307:4. For Section 5307-funded fixed-route service, does the recipient charge no more than half the peak-hour fare for seniors, persons with disabilities, and Medicare cardholders during off-peak hours?

BASIC REQUIREMENT
For fixed-route service, fares charged seniors, persons with disabilities, and Medicare cardholders during off peak hours must not be more than one half the peak hour fares.

APPLICABILITY
All 5307 recipients with 5307-funded fixed-route service

EXPLANATION FOR RECIPIENT
Fares charged seniors, persons with disabilities, and Medicare cardholders during off peak hours for Section 5307-funded fixed-route service must not be more than half the fare charged during peak hours. If there are services such as neighborhood circulator and shuttle services with fares that are different from the recipient’s fare for its regular local service, separate half fares are needed for each type of service.

The requirement is applicable to fixed-route service only including:

- All fixed-route services and non-charter service to sporting events, that operate in both peak and off-peak hours and use or involve facilities and equipment financed with Section 5307 funds, whether the services are provided by the recipient directly, by a contractor, by a subrecipient, or by another entity that leases facilities and/or equipment from the recipient
- Any express and commuter service that operates beyond peak hours
- Fixed-route services for which the recipient has not defined peak hours
- Fixed-route services that operate with reduced fares in both the peak and off-peak

This requirement is not applicable to:

- Demand responsive services, including route deviation services
- Services that operate only during peak hours, such as express and commuter service
- Services that operate only in the off-peak hours (e.g., lunchtime circulators and non-charter weekend service to sporting events)
- Services funded with other FTA assistance that do not use Section 5307-funded equipment or are not operated out of Section 5307-funded facilities
A “senior” is defined by FTA as “an individual who is 65 years of age or older.” Recipients are permitted to use a definition that extends this fare to younger (e.g., 62 and over) persons. (FTA Circular 9030.1E)

Persons with disabilities are defined by FTA as persons “who by reason of illness, injury, age, congenital malfunction, or other incapacity or temporary or permanent disability (including any individual who is a wheelchair user or has semi-ambulatory capabilities), cannot use effectively, without special facilities, planning, or design, mass transportation service or a mass transportation facility.” (49 CFR 609.3) Medicare is available for people age 65 or older, younger people with disabilities, and people with end stage renal disease (permanent kidney failure requiring dialysis or transplant).

The recipient determines its peak hours. Peak hours can be seasonal. If the recipient determines it is not large enough, or demand is not strong enough, to identify or justify peak hour service, then its entire service should be defined as “off peak.” In this instance, the recipient has two options:

1. Review ridership data and determine the peak ridership hours and develop a policy for half fare

2. Choose not to determine a peak period and offer half fares during all hours.

The half-fare program, as applied, may require passengers to show proof of eligibility when they pay their fare in order to receive the half fare. Examples of proof of eligibility include a driver’s license, Medicare card, special identification card, and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) complementary paratransit eligibility card.

In order to ensure that the person presenting a Medicare card is the authorized individual, the recipient may request proof of identity (a form of identification with a photograph). There is no specific prohibition against this, provided the recipient is not asking for further proof of eligibility from the Medicare cardholder but is only checking the identity of the Medicare cardholder.

Whether or not a recipient who issues its own special identification card as the sole basis for paying the half fare, a Medicare card must be accepted as proof of eligibility. The recipient may request a photo ID to confirm identity if a passenger uses a Medicare card to confirm identity. Obtaining a special identification card must be relatively easy. For example, though not strictly prohibited, requiring individuals to travel to a single office, which may be inconveniently located, is not consistent with the intent of this requirement. The location(s) should be accessible by transit.

**INDICATORS OF COMPLIANCE**

a. **Does the recipient charge a fare for seniors, persons with disabilities, and Medicare cardholders during off-peak hours?** If no, move to the next question.

b. **How does the recipient make available to the public information on the half-fare program?**

c. **What is the full peak-hour fare? What is the fare charged to seniors, persons with disabilities, and Medicare cardholders during off-peak hours?**

d. **How does the recipient define peak and off-peak hours? During what hours are reduced fares available?**

e. **Are any Section 5307-funded fixed-route services that operate during off-peak hours not included in the half fare program?**

f. **What proof of eligibility is required for seniors, persons with disabilities and Medicare cardholders at time of boarding?**

g. **Where can a rider obtain the agency-issued identification? Is the location(s) accessible by transit?**
INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWER
Review the recipient’s website and fare information to determine if half fares are offered to seniors, persons with disabilities, and Medicare cardholders. Ensure that the half fare is not more than half the full peak hour fare, including zone or transfer charges.

Review the recipient’s website, fare information, system maps and half-fare application to determine if information on the half-fare program is made available to the public. Confirm that the half fare is at least offered during off-peak hours.

Review the recipient’s website for the description of the half-fare program and the requirements for participation.

If the recipient requires a special identification card in order to receive the half-fare:

- Review the website, fare information, system maps, and half-fare application to determine if information on how to obtain the special identification card and eligibility for the card is made available to the public.

- Review the application procedures and requirements to verify a Medicare card is considered sufficient proof of eligibility. The recipient may require proof of identify and validation of the status of the card at the time the application is presented.

- Verify that physical locations to receive special identification cards are accessible by transit.

- Review any complaints about the special identification card procedures to determine what barriers, if any, there are to obtaining the card.

- On site, discuss the special identification card process with the recipient to ensure the process is properly implemented.

If the recipient requires passengers to show proof of eligibility when they pay their fare in order to receive the half fare, but does not require special identification cards, review the documentation required for proof of eligibility. Examples include a driver’s license, Medicare card, and ADA complementary paratransit eligibility card. Where the documentation does not provide proof of identity, the recipient may require photo identification, provided the recipient is not asking for further proof of eligibility.

POTENTIAL DEFICIENCY DETERMINATIONS
The recipient is deficient if it charges more than one half the peak hour fares during off peak hours on any applicable service.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:4-1: Half fare not offered on applicable services

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a plan and schedule for implementing a half-fare program and/or extending half fares to all Section 5307-funded services, along with documentation that it has implemented the program.

The recipient is deficient if it does not make available to the public information on the half-fare program, eligibility requirements, and/or how to apply for a special identification card.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:4-2: Half-fare public information not provided

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office evidence that it has informed the public of its half-fare program, eligibility requirements, and/or how to apply for a special identification card.
The recipient is deficient if it does not accept a Medicare card as proof of eligibility.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:4-3: Medicare card not accepted for half-fare eligibility

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a plan to accept the Medicare card as proof of eligibility for the half fare, along with evidence of its implementation.

GOVERNING DIRECTIVES
49 U.S.C 5307(d) Grant Recipient Requirements (1)(D)

...will ensure that elderly and handicapped individuals, or an individual presenting a Medicare card issued to that individual under title II or XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 401 et seq., 1395 et seq.), will be charged during non-peak hours for transportation using or involving a facility or equipment of a project financed under this section not more than 50 percent of the peak hour fare.

FTA Circular 9030.1E, Chapter VI Program Management and Administrative Requirements, Section 1 Certifications Required by 49 U.S.C. 5307

a. (6) Fares Charged to Seniors and Persons with Disabilities During Nonpeak Hours. According to 49 U.S.C. 5307(c)(1)(D), a recipient must certify that the fares charged to seniors, individuals with disabilities, or individuals presenting a Medicare card during nonpeak hours, for transportation using or involving a facility or equipment of a project financed under this section, are not more than 50 percent of the peak hour fare, regardless of whether the service is provided by the recipient or by another entity under contract, lease, or other arrangement. Because a Medicare card does not constitute proof of an individual's identity, it is reasonable for a transit agency to request confirmation of the individual's identity, either through secondary photo identification or by using a photographic identification card issued by the transit agency. It is also reasonable for a transit agency to verify the validity of the Medicare card being presented, and to facilitate the half-fare application process, a transit agency may request that the applicant validate the status of the card at the time the half-fare application is presented.

49 CFR 609.23 Appendix A to Part 609 - Elderly and Handicapped

9. Question: Can the operator require that elderly and handicapped persons come to a central office to register for an off-peak half-fare program?

Answer: FTA strongly encourages operators to develop procedures which maximize the availability of off-peak half-fares to eligible individuals. Requiring individuals to travel to a single office which may be inconveniently located is not consistent with this policy, although it is not strictly prohibited. FTA reserves the right to review such local requirements on a case-by-case basis.

5307:5. Does the recipient or the recipient’s urbanized area (UZA) utilize one percent of its Section 5307 expenditures for transit security?

BASIC REQUIREMENT
Recipients of Section 5307 program funds must certify that at least one percent of the amount apportioned to its UZA in a fiscal year, will be expended on “public transportation security projects,” or that such expenditures are unnecessary.

APPLICABILITY
All 5307 recipients
EXPLANATION FOR RECIPIENT
Each designated recipient of Section 5307 program funds must certify that of the amount apportioned to its UZA in a fiscal year, the recipients within the UZA will collectively expend at least one percent on “public transportation security projects,” or the designated recipient(s) must certify that such expenditures for security projects are unnecessary. This certification may also be provided by the MPO in coordination with eligible recipients in the UZA.

For recipients that spend the one percent, examples of appropriate security expenditures include:

- Facility perimeter security and access control systems (e.g., fencing, lighting, gates, card reader systems, etc.)
- Closed circuit television camera systems (at stations, platforms, bus stops, and on-board vehicles)
- Any other project intended to increase the security and safety of an existing or planned transit system

There are three reasons that recipients may have for considering the one percent security expenditure to be unnecessary:

- A recent threat and vulnerability assessment identified no deficiencies
- Transportation Security Administration (TSA)/(FTA) Security and Emergency Management Action Items met or exceeded
- Other. For the “other” category, the typical reason is that a recipient spends sufficient local, other FTA, or Department of Homeland Security funds on security projects and, therefore, does not need to spend formula funds on security projects.

Regardless of the reasons for deciding not to spend Section 5307 funds on transit-related security, recipients should have information and documentation that supports their decision.

INDICATORS OF COMPLIANCE

a. Did the recipient certify that it or its UZA will utilize one percent of its Section 5307 funds on transit security expenditures?
   i. If the recipient is the sole designated recipient in the UZA, how were the Section 5307 funds utilized over the last three years to meet the requirement for transit security expenditures?
   ii. If there are multiple recipients in the UZA, how were security projects allocated among other recipients? Did the recipient fulfill its agreed-upon portion of the one percent requirement?

b. Did the recipient certify that it or recipients in its UZA will not utilize one percent of its Section 5307 funds on public transportation security expenditures? If yes, do the recipient’s existing security measures meet the agency needs if it has elected not to utilize one percent of its Section 5307 funds on transit security expenditures?

INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWER

Review the recipient’s Section 5307 award agreements in FTA’s TrAMS to verify that the recipient certified that it or the recipients in the UZA will collectively expend at least one percent of the amount apportioned to the UZA for a fiscal year on “public transportation security projects” or that existing security measures meet the agency’s needs. Consult with the regional office to obtain the split letters for the review cycle to review how Section 5307 funds will be expended for the UZA. Obtain from the recipient
information on how it agreed to help the UZA meet the one percent requirement. The recipient in a UZA with multiple recipients does not need to show that the UZA spent one percent, it only needs to have documentation of how the funds will be spent and demonstrate it spent its share.

If the recipient certifies that the one percent will be spent on security projects, using the following table obtain information on security expenditures for the past three years. Onsite, discuss with the recipient the process used in the UZA to ensure the transit security expenditure requirement is achieved annually.

If the recipient certifies that existing security measures meet the agency’s needs, obtain evidence to ensure that the recipient has documented why the expenditures are not necessary.

**TRANSIT SECURITY EXPENDITURES**

Does the grantee expend one percent or more of its Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Grant funds for transit security?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY2016</th>
<th>Yes:</th>
<th>No:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If no, why does the grantee consider such expenditure unnecessary (check all that apply):

- No deficiency found from a threat and vulnerability assessment
- TSA/FTA Security and Emergency Management Action Items met or exceeded
- Other (describe):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security Funding</th>
<th>FTA Section 5307 Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FY 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total amount of 5307 funds expended</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of 5307 funds expended on security</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of 5307 funds expended on security</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Infrastructure/Capital Improvement Security Projects:**

- Lighting, fencing & perimeter control
- Closed circuit television (CCTV) and surveillance technology
- Communications systems
- Other security-related infrastructure and capital improvements (list)

**Operating/Personnel Expenditures:**

- Contracted security force
- In-house security force
- Other security-related operating expenditures (list)
POTENTIAL DEFICIENCY DETERMINATIONS

The recipient is deficient if it certifies that expenditures for transit security are necessary for the fiscal year but falls short of utilizing one percent of Section 5307 funds on security projects or cannot show how it is meeting its portion of the one percent requirement.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:5-1: One percent security requirement not met

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a plan for meeting the one-percent expenditure requirement and report on implementation of this plan.

The recipient or its UZA is deficient if it certifies that expenditures for transit security are necessary but cannot provide adequate documentation to support utilizing one percent of its Section 5307 funds on transit security expenditures.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:5-2: Lacking documentation of security expenditures

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office procedures for documenting the amount of Section 5307 formula funds spent on transit security.

The recipient is deficient if it cannot explain or provide adequate documentation to support the decision that transit security projects for it or its UZA are unnecessary and did not utilize one percent of its Section 5307 expenditures for public transportation security projects for a fiscal year.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:5-3: Documentation lacking for decision not to expend security funds

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office an explanation and adequate documentation on why the expenditure is unnecessary.

GOVERNING DIRECTIVE

FTA Circular 9030.1E, Chapter VI Program Management and Administrative Requirements

1a (13) Expenditure on Public Transportation Security Projects: According to 5307(d)(1)(J), each designated recipient of Section 5307 program funds must certify that of the amount apportioned to its UZA in a fiscal year, the recipients within the UZA will collectively expend at least 1 percent on “public transportation security projects,” or the designated recipient(s) must certify that such expenditures for security projects are unnecessary. This certification may also be provided by the MPO in coordination with eligible recipients in the UZA.

5307:6. For Section 5307 funds awarded before October 1, 2015, has the recipient or the recipient’s UZA met the associated transit improvement requirements?

BASIC REQUIREMENT

At least one percent of Section 5307 funds apportioned to large urbanized areas must be expended on associated transit improvements.

APPLICABILITY

All 5307 recipients in large urbanized areas with awards prior to October 1, 2015
EXPLANATION FOR RECIPIENT
For Section 5307 funds obligated before October 1, 2015, the designated recipient(s) in UZAs with a population of at least 200,000 is required to expend no less than one percent of the fiscal year's Section 5307 apportionment on associated transit improvements. To ensure program funds are expended as proposed, the designated recipient, all recipients, or the MPO must submit an annual Associated Transit Improvement Report listing projects carried out in the preceding fiscal year. This report should include:

- Recipient name
- UZA name and number
- FTA Award Identification Numbers: TEAM grants Project Numbers or Federal Award Identification Numbers (FAIN) for TrAMS awards
- Project category or categories
- Brief description of improvements and progress towards project implementation
- Activity line items (ALI) codes from the approved budget(s)
- Amount awarded by FTA for the project

When several recipients are in a UZA with at least 200,000 in population, each individual recipient is not required to spend one percent of its Section 5307 program funds on associated transit improvements; rather, the recipients together must spend one percent of the UZA’s apportionment on projects and project elements that qualify as improvements. To certify that this requirement will be met, either the designated recipient(s) or the MPO must have submitted an annual "split" letter identifying the amounts planned to be spent by each direct recipient on associated transit improvements and a list of qualifying associated transit improvement projects to be undertaken with funding from the relevant fiscal year for all recipients in a UZA.

INDICATORS OF COMPLIANCE

a. Has the recipient or the recipient’s UZA demonstrated it is meeting its associated transit improvement expenditure commitments?

i. If the recipient is the sole designated recipient in the UZA, how were the Section 5307 funds awarded prior to October 1, 2015, utilized to meet the requirement for associated transit improvement?

ii. If there are multiple recipients in the UZA, how were associated transit improvement projects allocated among other recipients? Did the recipient fulfill its agreed-upon portion of the one percent requirement?

b. Are Associated Transit Improvement Reports submitted annually with all the required elements?
INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWER
For Section 5307 awards obligated before October 1, 2015, review TrAMS to determine if awards include associated transit improvement projects. Obtain information on associated transit improvement expenditures from the recipient and compare to split letters and lists of qualifying projects obtained from the regional office to ensure that the recipient is meeting its associated transit improvement commitments. Review the Associated Transit Improvement Reports to determine if the recipient submits them annually and includes all the required information. Onsite, discuss with the recipient the process used in the UZA to ensure that the minimum expenditure of Section 5307 funds on associated transit improvement is achieved. The recipient in a UZA with multiple recipients does not need to show that the UZA spent one percent, it only needs to have documentation of how the funds will be spent and demonstrate it spent its share.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Required Associated Transit Improvement Report Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UZA name and number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA project number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief description of improvement and progress towards project implementation;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity line code from approved budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount awarded by FTA for the project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

POTENTIAL DEFICIENCY DETERMINATIONS
The recipient is deficient if it will not meet its associated transit improvement commitments.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:6-1: Associated transit improvement commitments not met

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office a plan for meeting the associated transit improvement commitments and report on implementation of the plan in the annual Associated Transit Improvement Reports.

The recipient is deficient if it has not submitted its Associated Transit Improvement Reports annually or they do not contain the required elements.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:6-2: Associated Transit Improvement Report issues

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit the Associated Transit Improvement Reports for the past year in TrAMS and submit to the FTA regional office procedures for submitting the reports with the required information annually.
GOVERNING DIRECTIVES
FTA Circular 9030.1E, Chapter V, Section 9 Associated Transit Improvements

9. ASSOCIATED TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS. In UZAs with populations of at least 200,000, the designated recipient or designated recipients are responsible for certifying that no less than 1 percent of a fiscal year’s apportionment is expended for projects that qualify as associated transit improvements. Where there are multiple designated recipients, the designated recipients must jointly coordinate the use of the 1 percent requirement for associated transit improvement projects and must include a list of the qualifying projects in the letter to FTA’s regional office identifying the split of the UZA apportionment. If a list of qualifying projects is not available at this time, the letter should at a minimum indicate how this requirement will be met by indicating the amount each recipient will expend for qualifying projects.

A UZA may choose to spend more than 1 percent on associated transit improvement projects; however, expenditures for items that are not otherwise eligible projects—in particular, operating costs for historic public transportation facilities—may not exceed 1 percent of the UZA’s fiscal year apportionment. In addition, projects that are eligible for an increased federal share, such as bicycle projects included as associated transit improvements, may only receive the increased local share for expenses necessary to meet the one percent minimum.

a. Associated Transit Improvement Report
Recipients must submit a report to the appropriate FTA regional office listing the projects or elements of projects carried out with associated transit improvement (ATI) funds during the previous fiscal year and the amount spent. The recipient must attach the report in TEAM in the federal fiscal year’s final quarterly report.

b. The report should include:
   (1) recipient name;
   (2) UZA name and number;
   (3) FTA project number;
   (4) project category;
   (5) brief description of improvement and progress towards project implementation;
   (6) activity line code from approved budget; and
   (7) amount awarded by FTA for the project.

c. Alternatively, the designated recipient or MPO may submit this report on behalf of all recipients in a UZA; however, the report must include all of the information listed in the paragraph above.

FTA Circular 5010.1E, Chapter III, Section 3. Reporting Requirements

h. Associated Transit Improvement Reports. Associated Transit Improvement Reports (also, Transit Enhancement Reports for Awards made before MAP-21 was signed into law) must be submitted by recipients with populations of 200,000 or more that receive federal assistance under the Urbanized Area Formula Program (Section 5307). Recipients of this federal assistance are required by Section 5307(c)(1)(K) to submit a report listing the associated transit improvement projects carried out during the previous FY with that federal assistance including the amounts expended. Refer to the most recent edition of FTA Circular 9030.1, “Urbanized Area Formula Program: Program Guidance and Application Instructions,” for reporting requirements. Certification that the Associated Transit Improvement Report or Transit Enhancement Report has been submitted is required as part of the Annual List of Certifications and Assurances.
5307:7. Does the recipient ensure that subrecipients, contractors, and lessees that receive Section 5307 funds or use Section 5307-funded property comply with Section 5307 half-fare and public comment requirements?

BASIC REQUIREMENT
The recipient must ensure that subrecipients, contractors, and lessees that receive Section 5307 funds or use Section 5307-funded property comply with Section 5307 half-fare and public comment requirements.

APPLICABILITY
All 5307 recipients with subrecipients, contractors, and lessees

EXPLANATION FOR RECIPIENT
The recipient must ensure that Section 5307 subrecipients, contractors, and lessees have a process that is followed for obtaining and considering public comment for fare increases and major service reductions. Either the recipient or its subrecipients, contractors, or lessees are expected to have a written policy that describes the public comment process.

The recipient is also responsible for ensuring that subrecipients, contractors, and lessees that operate services comply with half-fare requirements where applicable. The oversight program should ensure that:

- A half fare is offered for applicable services during off peak hours.
- The definition of off-peak hours is reasonable.
- Identification requirements allow eligible persons to obtain the half fare, and internal and public fare information show the half fare and eligibility, including Medicare cardholder eligibility.

INDICATORS OF COMPLIANCE

a. How does the recipient ensure that contractors and lessees comply with half-fare and public comment requirements?

b. How does the recipient ensure that Section 5307 subrecipients comply with half-fare and public comment requirements?

INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWER
Review sample subrecipient agreements, contracts, and lease agreements for a discussion of the direction given to subrecipients, contractors or lessees regarding compliance with the half-fare and public comment requirements. Review the recipient’s oversight procedures and oversight materials (i.e., questionnaires and checklists) for evidence that the recipient ensures compliance with half-fare and public comment requirements.

During the site visit to the recipient, review the oversight files for the entities to be visited to determine if the recipient addresses the half-fare and public comment requirements and followed up on any findings.

Prior to the site visit to the entities, review the websites for the subrecipient, contractor, and/or lessee to be visited for information on the half-fare program. During the site visits to the entities, discuss the half-fare program and public comment policy and their implementation. Follow-up with the recipient for information if not available on the subrecipient’s contractor’s, or lessee’s website(s). Review the public comment policies for fare increases and major service reductions for the subrecipient, contractor, and lessee to be visited to determine if they address the required items.

POTENTIAL DEFICIENCY DETERMINATIONS
The recipient is deficient if it does not ensure that subrecipients, contractors, and lessees that operate Section 5307 service comply with public comment requirements.
DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:7-1: Insufficient oversight of fare increases and major service reductions

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office procedures for ensuring that subrecipients, contractors, and lessees comply with public comment requirements and evidence of their implementation.

The recipient is deficient if it does not ensure that subrecipients, contractors, and lessees that operate fixed-route service comply with the Section 5307 half-fare requirements.

DEFICIENCY CODE 5307:7-2: Insufficient oversight of half fare

SUGGESTED CORRECTIVE ACTION: The recipient must submit to the FTA regional office procedures for ensuring that subrecipients, contractors, and lessees comply with half-fare requirements and evidence of their implementation.

GOVERNING DIRECTIVES

2 CFR 200.318(b)

Non-Federal entities must maintain oversight to ensure that contractors perform in accordance with the terms, conditions, and specifications of their contracts or purchase orders.

2 CFR 200.331 Requirements for pass-through entities

All pass-through entities must:

(d) Monitor the activities of the subrecipient as necessary to ensure that the subaward is used for authorized purposes, in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward; and that subaward performance goals are achieved. Pass-through entity monitoring of the subrecipient must include:

(1) Reviewing financial and performance reports required by the pass-through entity.
(2) Following-up and ensuring that the subrecipient takes timely and appropriate action on all deficiencies pertaining to the Federal award provided to the subrecipient from the pass-through entity detected through audits, on-site reviews, and other means.
(3) Issuing a management decision for audit findings pertaining to the Federal award provided to the subrecipient from the pass-through entity as required by §200.521 Management decision.

FTA Circular 5010.1E, Chapter II, Section 3. Roles and Responsibilities of the Management of Awards

Recipients are responsible for the day-to-day management of their Awards that provide assistance for eligible activities or projects...

a. Recipient Role. In addition to FTA’s responsibility to monitor FTA Awards and the federally assisted projects thereunder, recipients must monitor federally assisted activities to ensure compliance with applicable federal requirements. This includes the administration and management of the Award in compliance with federal regulations, the Grant or Cooperative Agreement, and applicable FTA circulars...

The recipient’s responsibilities include, but are not limited to, actions that:

(2) Provide administrative and management support of project implementation;
(3) Provide, directly or by contract, adequate technical inspection and supervision by qualified professionals of all work in progress;
(4) Ensure conformity to Grant Agreements and Cooperative Agreements, applicable statutes, codes, ordinances, and safety standards; …
(7) Ensure compliance with FTA and federal requirements by agencies, consultants, contractors, and subcontractors working under approved third-party contracts or inter-agency agreements; …
ISSUES/Areas of Concern for FTA Awareness

1. If the recipient is located in a designated TMA (population 200,000 or more), when was the last PCR completed by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)?
   a. Did the recipient participate in the review?
   b. Are there any outstanding corrective actions from the PCR that pertain to the recipient?

2. If the recipient is not in a TMA (area with population under 200,000), are there any outstanding corrective actions from the metropolitan planning or statewide planning findings that pertain to the recipient?

3. Does the recipient’s definition of major service reduction appear reasonable? Is it consistent with the definition of major service changes in the recipient’s Title VI plan?

4. Does the recipient appear to make it difficult to receive a half fare special identification card?

5. Did background research or site visit observations reveal any other potential issues or concerns about not covered above about the recipient’s public involvement/comment processes, half fare, security expenditures, associated transit improvement expenditures, or procedures for overseeing subrecipient compliance with these requirements?

References

1. 23 U.S.C. Section 134, Federal Aid Highways, “Metropolitan Transportation Planning”

2. 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53, Federal Transit Laws

3. 2 CFR Parts 200 and 1201, "Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards"

4. 23 CFR Part 450, “Planning Assistance and Standards”

5. FTA Circular 9030.1E, “Urbanized Area Formula Program: Program Guidance and Application Instructions”

Useful Web Links

1. Major Policy and Planning Issues

2. Planning Index: A to Z

3. Planning Certification Reviews

4. Transportation Planning Newsletter

5. Transportation Planning Capacity Building

6. National Transit Institute (NTI) Courses
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.14.19</td>
<td>Revision of Regional Fare Guidelines</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.14.19</td>
<td>Visual compilation of transit agency fare structures and policies</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.14.19</td>
<td>Revision of draft reporting template for previously approved RAD projects</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Revisions included in the version approved on 12.12.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.14.19</td>
<td>Exploring of options to develop draft template for AVL RFP</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Staff discussing week of January 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.14.19</td>
<td>Development of draft calendar for the 2020 federal transit funding process</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Calendar approved at 12.12.19 meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.14.19</td>
<td>Development of draft calendar for 2020 Committee actions</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Staff working on full PACTS Committees calendar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.14.19</td>
<td>Sharing of 10.24.19 PACTS Policy Committee meeting minutes</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Draft minutes shared on 12.27.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.14.19</td>
<td>Review of draft STIP SYCOP</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Waiting on draft STIP from MaineDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.14.19</td>
<td>Agenda item on UZA spending 1% of 5307 funds on security</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Agenda item for January 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.12.19</td>
<td>Development of a spreadsheet to track action items - for January 9 Committee meeting</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Agenda item for January 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.12.19</td>
<td>Discussion of implementation of regional fare guidelines - future workshop agenda item</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.12.19</td>
<td>Sharing of Maine Medical Center's draft Transportation Demand Management 2019 report</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Chris shared the report on 12.12.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.12.19</td>
<td>Development of a 2020 calendar for reporting on previously approved RAD projects</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>To be shared with reporting template in 01.09.19 packet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attachment D

Quarterly Reporting Template – Regionally Administered Discretionary (RAD) Projects

Agency:

Project Name:

Service Outcome: Please briefly explain the intent of the project and the expected impact on the agency, municipality, riders, and region.

Background: Please briefly explain the history and process behind the development of this project, including any particular challenges or obstacles.

Funding Sources: Please identify any funding sources (local, state, and federal) that have contributed to this project.

Update: Please provide a brief update of progress and happenings since the last report. This should include an update to metrics used to measure the success of the project and an explanation of how the outcomes of the project are being achieved.

2020 Reporting Calendar:

- February 13, 2019 PACTS Transit Committee Meeting
- April 9, 2019 PACTS Transit Committee Meeting
- August 13, 2019 PACTS Transit Committee Meeting
- December 10, 2019 PACTS Transit Committee Meeting

Note: GPCOG staff is available to assist with visual representations of data