

# PACTS Technical Committee Meeting

## AGENDA

Tuesday, June 9, 2020

8:30 AM - 9:30 AM

Remote Meeting

Zoom: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86767991945>

Call in: 312 626 6799

Meeting ID: 867 6799 1945

As of March 31<sup>st</sup>, 2020, PACTS and GPCOG are holding all committee meetings via [Zoom conferencing technology](#). We remain committed to full public access and participation in our meetings through remote access during the COVID-19 crisis. Remote meetings will be held in accordance with the requirements of [LD 2167, Public Law Chapter 618](#).

### 1. Welcome and Roll Call- Patrick Fox, Chairman

Staff will provide a brief introduction to and overview of Zoom meeting procedures.

### 2. Public Comments

The general public will have an open comment period with a 3-minute limit per individual to comment on any issue, including items on the agenda.

### 3. Acceptance of 5/12/20 Minutes (Attachment A) – 5 min.

### 4. Project Updates (Attachment B) – 10 min.

The following project updates are attached:

- Regional Traffic Management System
- High Crash Locations Assessment

Questions on specific projects may be addressed at this time.

***Recommended Action: Information only.***

### 5. 2022 PACTS Collector Paving Project Selection – 40 min. (Attachment C)

The current PACTS Collector Paving contract with VHB is for five years, with full network condition assessments in Years 1 and 4 of the contract. PACTS staff met with VHB early in 2020 to discuss the schedule and process for the Year 4 Reassessment of Collector Paving this spring. VHB focused on “priority” roads with a higher likelihood of being funded for paving, based on their predicted PCI ratings. A raw list of data was provided to the Technical Committee at the May 12<sup>th</sup> meeting and staff brought feedback to VHB, specifically the

need for a 2022 Predicted PCI column, since that would be consistent with previous PACTS Collector Paving selection methods.

At this time, PACTS staff are still communicating with VHB regarding a need for updated treatment recommendations and cost estimates, based on the 2022 Predicted PCI values. PACTS will provide an updated agenda once the collector road segment spreadsheet has been updated and segments have been sorted according to the Overall Ranking, which factors for 2022 Predicted PCI, traffic volume (AADT), and transit.

*\*Update- 6/8/2020\**

*The staff recommendations for 2022 PACTS Collector Paving can be found in Attachment C. They total 3.47 miles at approximately \$2.14 million. GPCOG staff will verify these preliminary estimates through field visits with MaineDOT, which may impact the final list of project selections. A few additional highlights:*

- *Scarborough Highland Ave selection (~500 feet) is contingent upon Scarborough moving forward with one of the other Scarborough projects recommended on this list.*
- *Yarmouth Route 88 is made up of two combined segments, combined from the PACTS/Municipal Collector Road reviews during late 2019.*
- *All PACTS Subregions are represented in these recommendations.*

***Recommended Actions: Approve the recommended road segments for the 2022 Collector Paving program, based on Overall Ranking. Task PACTS and MaineDOT with reviewing and validating cost estimates of selected segments together.***

## **6. Adjourn.**

**Attachment A**

**PACTS Technical Committee Meeting Minutes**

**Tuesday, May 12, 2020**

**8:30 AM -10:00 PM**

**Remote Meeting**

**In Attendance:**

<b>Committee Members</b>	<b>Affiliation</b>	<b>Attendance</b>
Tom Milligan	Biddeford	Y
Robert Malley	Cape Elizabeth	Y
Bill Shane	Cumberland	N
VACANT	Executive Committee	N/A
Jay Reynolds	Falmouth	Y
Adam Bliss, Vice Chair	Freeport	Y
Bob Burns	Gorham	Y
Rebecca Grover	Maine Turnpike Authority	N
Darryl Belz	MaineDOT	Y
LaRay Hamilton	MaineDOT	Y
Clark Baston	North Yarmouth	N
Joe Cooper	Old Orchard Beach	N
Jeremiah Bartlett	Portland	Y
Nathan White	Raymond	N
Patrick Fox, Chair	Saco	Y
Angela Blanchette	Scarborough	N
Abbie Sherwin	SMPDC	N
Justin Gove	South Portland	N
VACANT	Transit Committee	N/A
Katherine Kelley	Westbrook	Y
Mark Arianti	Windham	Y
Erik Street	Yarmouth	Y
<b>Guests</b>		
Chris Mann	MaineDOT	
<b>For GPCOG</b>		
Kristina Egan, Ryan Neale, Elizabeth Roberts, Harold Spetla		

**1. Welcome- Patrick Fox, Chair**

Patrick opened the meeting by having those in attendance introduce themselves.

**2. Public Comments**

There was no public comment.

### **3. Acceptance of 4/14/20 Minutes**

Jay Reynolds moved to approve the 4/14/2020 minutes; the motion was seconded by Tom Milligan; all were in favor.

### **4. Project Updates**

In the new agenda format, in which staff will prepare project updates that will only be addressed at the meeting if Committee members express interest.

#### **PACTS Crack Sealing**

Jay Reynolds asked about the status and timeline for bidding on the PACTS Crack Sealing pilot project, which was discussed at an unscheduled March Technical Committee meeting. MaineDOT received a bid from Seal Coating Inc that came in under about \$13,000 under estimate. The City of Portland will also be trying to piggyback onto the PACTS bid. MaineDOT will do the administrative inspection at no cost. The Committee expressed gratitude to LaRay Hamilton for heading up the pilot project on behalf of MaineDOT.

There were no other comments on the Project Updates.

### **5. 2022 PACTS Collector Paving Segments**

PACTS staff met with municipalities throughout the end of 2019 and delivered feedback to VHB regarding paving segment lengths. The decision was made to keep “road segments” and create “super segments” for project selection purposes. In some instances, road segments with different Pavement Condition Index (PCI) ratings and widths were combined. In these instances, VHB recorded the change between the two segments. VHB also began assessments on super segments with anticipated PCI values with a rating between 25-45, assuming these would be the most likely segments to be selected within the program for funding in 2022.

Adam Bliss asked why PCI values increased in some cases and why changes in width were recorded. Adam also noted that the 2022 Anticipated PCI was not available on the provided spreadsheet. Harold noted that some PCI increases could be expected from spot treatment and discrepancies in data collection. Harold will revisit the spreadsheet with VHB for answers to Committee questions and receive an update for 2022 Anticipated PCI.

Patrick Fox asked Committee members to review the data within the spreadsheet and compare PCI values to municipal knowledge and assess feasibility for paving in 2022.

Adam requested that VHB take a closer look at PCI values, and Harold acknowledged that he would follow up with the consultants.

### **6. PACTS Municipal Partnership Initiative Project Selection**

Scarborough notified PACTS that they would no longer be requesting \$200,000 of Southern subregional (and \$75,660 at-large) funding from the 2021 PACTS MPI program. The \$200,000 would then become eligible for the other Southern subregional communities and \$75,660 would be available for all of PACTS.

Patrick Fox noted that Saco would be requesting \$100,000 to fund the Saco Maple Street project, which was previously defunded from the 2020 PACTS Collector Paving program due to cost

overruns, and Biddeford would be requesting \$100,000 for Alfred Street and Landry Street paving. Jay Reynolds suggested, given recent bid prices, the \$75,660 should be set aside as a possible contingency.

The committee discussed future funding for projects given current circumstances surrounding COVID-19 and anticipated revenue dips. Darryl Belz noted that 2021 PACTS MPI projects have a WIN created, which means they should be funded. Katherine Kelley asked if Westbrook would be able to start design work given they had a project on the 2021 PACTS MPI list. Harold noted that the PACTS Policy Committee would need to vote to approve funding and Darryl noted that three-party agreements would need to be signed before any work could begin. There was an inquiry about whether design costs could be included in PACTS MPI projects, which is not specified by the PACTS MPI policy. Given it is not specified, design can be included in PACTS MPI projects.

Tom Milligan made a motion to approve the reallocation of \$100,000 to Saco Maple Street, \$100,000 to Biddeford Alfred Street and Landry Street, and to not allocate \$75,660 to any specific project at this time. Bob Malley seconded the motion, and all were in favor.

## **7. Saco Maple Street Collector Paving Review**

Patrick noted that the Saco Maple Street collector paving project was put out to bid by MaineDOT combined with the Biddeford Precourt Street project. While Biddeford's project came in under the original estimates, Saco Maple Street came in significantly over-estimate. Contributing factors include Maple Street's narrow width, high number of pedestrian ramps, and subsurface engineering that was added to the scope to move the crown of the road. Because the projects were bid together, the prices must be jointly accepted or rejected, meaning Biddeford's project will not be awarded.

LaRay mentioned that MaineDOT has combined nearby projects—even across municipal boundaries—as a cost saving tool, which has not been an issue in the past. Moving forward, LaRay feels that this practice will be discontinued to avoid similar circumstances.

There was discussion surrounding checkpoints along the project timeline to revisit the scope and estimates. Jay Reynolds noted that it would be beneficial to include a notice to municipalities if there is an increase in the estimate greater than 30%. LaRay noted that it would be helpful for MaineDOT to ride roads with PACTS early on in the timeline, since MaineDOT already rides roads to look at conditions (including drainage and ADA accommodations). PACTS staff will resume the practice of distributing a monthly list of project updates from MaineDOT.

## **8. Adjourn.**

Bob Burns made a motion to adjourn; Bob Malley seconded; all were in favor.

## ***Attachment B***

### **PACTS Project Updates**

#### **Regional Traffic Management Systems**

An RTMS assessment RFP was posted in February. The RFP seeks a consultant who will perform an overall assessment on the communications network, as well as identify, assess, and address traffic signal equipment currently experiencing malfunctions. RTMS RFP proposals were due on April 9<sup>th</sup>. Two proposals were received. The selection committee, which consists of Elizabeth Roberts, Steve Landry, Jeremiah Bartlett, and Katherine Kelley interviewed with two firms on May 22<sup>nd</sup> and selected Sebago Technics. PACTS has requested a cost proposal and is now in the contract negotiation phase.

#### **High Crash Locations Assessments**

VHB was hired to perform high crash location assessments at 24 locations throughout the PACTS region. The consultant, VHB, submitted desktop assessments to PACTS in mid-March. Staff reviewed the assessments and returned them to VHB with comments. When the revised desktop assessments are received, they will be distributed to the Technical Committee. VHB, with input from the Technical Committee, will provide a recommended list of 10 locations for a full road safety audit (RSA). Staff will review and bring before the Technical Committee for feedback prior to moving forward with the full RSAs at the ten locations.



**Attachment C**

City/Town	Road Name	From	To	Length(mi)	Width (ft)	2020 PCI	Predicted 2022 PCI	Alternative (2022 Predicted)	Cost + 30% (2022 Predicted)	Overall Ranking (Condition) (2022 Predicted)
SCARBOROUGH	PLEASANT HILL RD	WAGNER WAY	50' W OF RIGBY RD	0.16	36	42	36	Mill & Fill (2 in)	\$ 108,184.66	73.6
OLD ORCHARD BEACH	UNION AV	SACO AVE	WEST GRAND AVE	0.59	37	43	37	Mill & Fill (2 in)	\$ 415,618.83	72.765
<b>SCARBOROUGH</b>	<b>HIGHLAND AV</b>	<b>BLACK POINT RD</b>	<b>551' W OF BLACK POINT RD</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>Mill &amp; Fill (2 in)</b>	<b>\$ 43,995.38</b>	<b>69.875</b>
SCARBOROUGH	BLACK POINT RD	ROUNDAABOUT LA	250' N OF OLD NECK RD	1.01	34	43	37	Mill & Fill (2 in)	\$ 660,555.03	69.3
PORTLAND	FOREST AV PORTLAND	PARK AVE	CONGRESS ST	0.29	40	51	45	Mill & Fill (2 in)	\$ 223,134.49	66.55
WESTBROOK	BROOK ST	VIRGINIA ST	FALMOUTH TL	0.55	25	43	37	Mill & Fill (2 in)	\$ 265,126.45	66.15
YARMOUTH	ROUTE 88	PLEASANT ST	MAIN ST	0.15	28	45	39	Mill & Fill (2 in)	\$ 82,517.66	65.575
YARMOUTH	ROUTE 88	PRINCESS POINT RD	PLEASANT ST	0.61	29	52	46	Mill & Fill (2 in)	\$ 337,122.53	58.05
				3.47					\$ 2,136,255.03	

*\*Update- 6/8/2020\**

*The staff recommendations for 2022 PACTS Collector Paving can be found in Attachment C. They total 3.47 miles at approximately \$2.14 million. GPCOG staff will verify these preliminary estimates through field visits with MaineDOT, which may impact the final list of project selections. A few additional highlights:*

- Scarborough Highland Ave selection (~500 feet) is contingent upon Scarborough moving forward with one of the other Scarborough projects recommended on this list.*
- Yarmouth Route 88 is made up of two combined segments, combined from the PACTS/Municipal Collector Road reviews during late 2019.*
- All PACTS Subregions are represented in these recommendations.*